Rapid and Simple Detection of *Escherichia coli* by Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification Assay in Urine Specimens
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Abstract

**Background:** To improve urinary tract infection detection, we evaluated the specificity and sensitivity of Loop-mediated isothermal Amplification Method (LAMP) for detection of the *Eschericia coli* (*E. coli*) in urine samples, for the first time.

**Methods:** Primers were designed to target the *malB* gene of *Escherichia coli*. LAMP assay was performed on urine specimens collected from patients with urinary tract infection symptoms.

**Results:** As expected, LAMP was more specific and sensitive than direct microscopic tests. LAMP assay showed the best detection limit of DNA copies with 1.02 copies.

**Conclusion:** LAMP method offers several advantages in terms of sensitivity, rapidness and simplicity for detection of *E. coli* infection in urine samples. The LAMP method would be highly suitable for the early detection of the UTIs and also comfort quick diagnosis of UTI in clinical laboratories with limited equipment.
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Introduction

Diagnosis and early detection of Urinary Tract Infection (UTI) are critical factors in disease management. Urine culture is the gold standard for the detection of UTI, but this method is time consuming. Molecular techniques are the more sensitive and rapid diagnostic tools for detecting pathogens in clinical samples. Conventional amplification methods such as PCR, require sufficient skill and expensive devices. In this regard, several isothermal amplification methods for the rapid detection of UTIs have been developed such as Loop mediated isothermal Amplification method (LAMP) 1, Nucleic Acid Sequence Based Amplification (NASBA) 2, Self-sustained sequence replication (3SR), etc. Compared to Conventional amplification methods, isothermal amplification techniques especially LAMP is rapid and easy to use for early detection of DNA. The thermocyclers are not needed in this amplification method, so procedure can be completed under isothermal conditions 1-2.

In this study, the performance of LAMP based on the *malB* gene was assessed to detect the presence of *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) in urine specimens.

Materials and Methods

**Samples collection and bacterial culture**

Urine specimens collected from patients referring to Resalat Hospital in Iran. *E. coli* (ATCC 25922) was used to evaluate the specificity and sensitivity of the LAMP reaction and grown in LB broth medium.

**DNA extraction**

1.5 ml of urine was poured into a microfuge tube. The tubes were centrifuged at 14000 rpm for 10 min. After washing with PBS buffer, samples were centrifuged again. Remaining Precipitate was solved in PBS buffer and incubated at 90 °C for 10 min then was subjected to reaction components of LAMP.

**LAMP primers**

*E. coli*-specific Primers used for this study targeted *malB* gene with GenBank accession no. CP016358 which encodes Maltoprotein. A set of four specific primers (F3, B3 for outer primers and FIP, BIP for inner primers), as described by Hill et al 3, were employed for LAMP assay (Table 1).

**LAMP reaction**

Final concentration of the LAMP reaction mix was prepared in total 25 µl volume containing 0.2 Mm F3 and B3 primers, 1.6 µM FIP and BIP primers, 1.4 mM dNTPs mixture, 1 mM betaine (Sigma, Shanghai, China), 6 mM MgSO4, 1× LAMP buffer (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA), 320 U/ml Bst DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs). The reaction mixture was incubated at 66 °C for 1 hr using heating block (HB-R48, Wisdco, Korea).
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**Detection of amplification products**

To detect LAMP products, electrophoresis was performed in 1% agarose gel (Figure 1). The LAMP reaction evaluation was also done by addition Syber green (1:10 dilution of a 10,000× stock solution) to each reaction tube and color changes was visualized by UV lamp (302 nm). Green color indicates a positive result (Figure 1).

**Specificity and sensitivity of LAMP**

To determine the sensitivity of the assays, 10-fold serial dilutions purified DNA derived from *E. coli* ATCC 25922 (Table 2) were prepared. Extracted DNAs of several bacterial strains were examined to evaluate the specificity of the LAMP assay.

**Microbiological and molecular Examinations of the urine samples**

Macroscopic urinalysis was done by direct visual examination of the urine samples to which a small amount of acid was added. For microbiological tests (Table 3), all urine samples collected from patients were grown in LB broth medium. To determine whether there are *E. coli*, all of the urine samples were cultured on Eosin Methylene Blue Agar (Merek, Germany). The observation of green metallic sheen was considered positive. For LAMP assay (Table 3), 1 ml of each urine samples was centrifuged at 15000 rpm, 4°C for 10 min. Then, the resultant precipitate was resuspended in sterile water. Once incubated at 90°C for 10 min, the suspensions were added to LAMP reactions.

**Results**

LAMP assay showed the best detection limit of DNA copies with 1.02 copies (10⁻⁸ dilution) (Figure 2). In this study, DNA copy number of each dilution was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{DNA copy number} = \frac{(6.022 \times 10^{23}) \times C \times (\text{ng})}{650(1 \times 10^3) \times \text{DNA length}}
\]

In which C is concentration of the extracted DNA. DNA length is bases pair number of the selected bacterium which was 5130767 base pairs for *E. coli* ATCC 25922 in our study. No cross reaction was seen with other bacteria, indicating that designed primers of LAMP assay were specific for *mal B* gene (Figure 3).

As expected, LAMP was more specific and sensitive than direct microscopic tests. Among the 20 urine samples, 12 cases were positive on EMB agar, but 13 cases were positive by LAMP. We were not capable of detecting *E. coli* contaminated urine sample by culturing. The reason of this observation could be inability of bacteria to grow on culture medium due to antibiotics consumption by patients. Since DNA is stable for long time and it is detectable after bacteria death, so it would be expectable that DNA-based molecular detection techniques like LAMP could detect DNA in antibiotic-killed bacteria as well.

**Discussion**

Diagnosis of UTI is often carried out by clinical symptoms, microscopic and culture examinations. Di-

Table 3. The results of all experiments (turbidity, culture, PCR and LAMP) for clinical specimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Turbidity</th>
<th>Culture</th>
<th>LAMP result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C13</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C15</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C16</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C17</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C19</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C20</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a +, amplification occurred; - amplification did not occur.

There are some similar researches in which LAMP assay has been targeted different genes to detect various strains of E. coli such as the stx1, stx2, aggR, ipaH, the heat-labile I (LTI) and heat-stable I (STI) genes and mal B. Although many researches have been done to detect E. coli by LAMP method, but there is not any report for employing this technique to identify E. coli in urine samples. In spite of more than 90% similarity between Shigella and E. coli, no cross reaction was observed between them in this study. The reason of high specificity of LAMP method is recognition of six distinct sequences on the target DNA by a set of four specially designed primers.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the present study suggests that LAMP method would be highly suitable for the detection of the UTIs and also comfort quick diagnosis of UTI in clinical laboratories with limited equipment. However, LAMP assay is preferable to microbiological culture in terms of speed, simplicity and sensitivity.
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